# **COURSE OUTLINE: BH3604/BU5605 Managing Organisational Change**

Academic Year	2022-2023 <b>Semester</b> 1
Course Coordinator	Associate Professor Nigel Phang
Course Code	BH3604/BU5605
Course Title	Managing Organisational Change
Pre-requisites	AB1601 Organizational Behavior and Design
No of AUs	3
Contact Hours	39
Proposal Date	June 2022

#### A) Course Aims

Before the outbreak of the global pandemic, change management was already one of the top leadership priorities in organizations. Yet a significant number of organizations reported a high failure rate in driving change initiatives. The process of change and the resistance to change, if not well managed, could result in unproductive work or even unintended negative consequences. The disappointing outcomes occurred because changes often affected multiple levels, from individuals' mindset, group routines, to organizational inertia. Fortunately, there are ways to mitigate such risks and increase the probability of successful change implementation. Organizational leaders, together with their human resource practitioners, are often involved in the planning and executing of change for their teams and organizations.

This course aims to equip seminar participants with the necessary knowledge of the different forms of change that take place in organizations, and the processes entailed in leading and managing change. Using seminars and discussion groups, the course will cover change management frameworks and concepts, explore the nature and context of change, examine alternative change management approaches and examine how best to implement predetermined change strategies, especially in the context of digital disruption.

# B) Intended Learning Outcomes (ILO)/Objectives

By the end of this course, you should be able to:

- 1. Explain a range of change management methodologies and identify the value assumptions and orientations which lie behind them
- 2. Critically evaluate change approaches and explain the value of systems intervention strategies and components in change situations.
- 3. Develop critical change agent competencies such as oral communication, problem-solving & decision making, and critical thinking.
- 4. Present and work effectively with others in a group setting.

# C) Course Content

- o Introduction to leading and managing Change
- Business Case for Change
- Essential Skills and Readiness of Change Agent
- Team Project presentation: Part 1
- Diagnosis for Change
- Leading & Managing People Issues Leadership & Stakeholders Management
- Leading & Managing People Issues Communicating and Motivating Others to Change
- o Planning & Preparing for Change Implementation Strategies & Change Plan
- o Planning & Preparing for Change Types of Intervention & Action Research
- Implementing Change

- Organizational Culture Change
- Sustaining Change
- Project Team Presentation: Part 2

## D) Assessment (includes both continuous and summative assessment)

Component	ILO	NBS Learning	Weighting	Team/	Assessment Rubrics
	Teste	Goal (Refer to		Individual	
	d	Annex E for			(Please insert rubrics as
		list)			Appendix)
Team Project Part	ILO2,	Oral	30%	Group	Oral Communication
1 presentation and	ILO3,	Communicatio			
Team Project Part	ILO 4	n	(5% Team		
2 presentation			Project Part 1		Teamwork & Interpersonal
(Part 2) * with		Teamwork &	presentation.		skills
Peer Evaluation **		Interpersonal	10% Team		
		skills	Project Part 2		Motivation & Development
			presentation,		of Self and Others
		Motivation &	plus 15%		
		Development	individual		
		of Self and	oral		
		Others	presentation)		
Change	ILO1,	Problem	10%	Individual	Problem Solving and
Management	ILO2	Solving and			Decision Making
Topic		Decision			
(presentation in		Making			
group)					
Personal Learning	ILO3	Critical	20%	Individual	Critical Thinking
Journal (minimum		Thinking			
14 entries) *					
Quiz	ILO1,	Acquisition of	20%	Individual	Acquisition of knowledge
	ILO3	knowledge			
Classroom	ILO3,	Class	20%	Individual	Class Participation
Participation *	ILO4	Participation			
Total			100%		

<sup>\*</sup> Closed assessment components

The course instructor will use a student's ratings (on a scale ranging from 1 to 7) to award marks for the team project to other students by computing the average rating that a student receives from other students (i.e., excluding each member's self-rating). A student's mark for the team project will be computed as follows:

- 1. If a student's average rating is  $\geq$  4, the student will receive 100% of the overall mark awarded to the team project.
- 2. If a student's average rating is < 4 but ≥ 3, the student will receive 80% of the overall mark awarded to the team project.
- 3. If a student's average rating is < 3 but ≥ 2, the student will receive 50% of the overall mark awarded to the team project.
- 4. If a student's average rating is < 2, the student will receive 30% of the overall mark awarded to the team project.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Peer Evaluation Instructions – Sat the end of the course, sudents are required to complete a peer evaluation for each member of the team. The completed peer evaluation form must be submitted individually to the instructor immediately after the final team project has been submitted for grading. The identity of appraisers will be kept **confidential** and will not be revealed to other team members.

## E) Formative feedback

You will be provided with feedback on your class quizzes and mini table assignments during the seminars. You get to see the immediate quiz score after completing the online quest test.

## F) Learning and Teaching approach

BH3604/BU5605 is a very DEMANDING course. The course is delivered through 13 seminar lessons, ongoing self-reflection, multiple mini table assignments, quizzes, team projects, and assessments by peers, instructor, and external subject matter experts.

Approach	How does this approach support you in achieving the learning outcomes?				
Seminars	The weekly seminar is designed to enable participations and learnings. Course instructor and students will take turn to lead change management topic discussion. Quizzes are administered at appropriate interval to reinforce learning.				
Personal Learning Journal	The Personal Learning Journal is an individual assignment which requires you to keep track of weekly learning points from class discussion and learning activities. Guided self-reflection questions are provided at the end of weekly seminar to help you to reflect the essential learning points. This assignment will facilitate your continuous reflection /learning on the various change management topics discussed in class and in the readings.				
In-Class activities	The weekly seminar will require you to participate in online and classroom interactive learning environment. Multiple small breakout sessions are organized to allow you to work with different fellow students in each breakout session. The breakout session aims to encourage students to learn to work as a team, to learn a specific change management topic or case study in details, and share the learning and insights with the class.				
	Finally, there will be a team presentation to a panel of judges (which may include external judges) at the end of the course. You are given 10 weeks to prepare a change management plan for an organization. You will learn critical change agent competencies such as oral communication, teamwork, problem-solving & decision making, and critical thinking.				

# **G) Reading and References**

## **Textbook (compulsory)**

(1) Hayes, J. 2018. The Theory and Practice of Change Management. 5th Edition, Palgrave Macmillan.

## Journal / Article

(2) Relevant articles (both compulsory and recommended) will be provided for each seminar in NTULearn course folder

## H) Course Policies and Student Responsibilities

#### (1) General

You are expected to complete all assigned pre-class readings and activities, attend all seminar classes punctually and take all scheduled assignments and tests by due dates. You are expected to take responsibility to follow up with course notes, assignments and course related announcements for seminar sessions they have missed. You are expected to participate in all seminar discussions and activities.

Late submission policy: Late submission will automatically receive a penalty of one grade for every 24 hours.

## (2) Absenteeism

Absence from class without a valid reason will affect your overall course grade. Valid reasons include falling sick supported by a medical certificate and participation in NTU's approved activities supported by an excuse letter from the relevant bodies. If you miss a seminar, you must inform the course instructor via email prior to the start of the class.

## I) Academic Integrity

Good academic work depends on honesty and ethical behaviour. The quality of your work as a student relies on adhering to the principles of academic integrity and to the NTU Honour Code, a set of values shared by the whole university community. Truth, Trust and Justice are at the core of NTU's shared values.

As a student, it is important that you recognize your responsibilities in understanding and applying the principles of academic integrity in all the work you do at NTU. Not knowing what is involved in maintaining academic integrity does not excuse academic dishonesty. You need to actively equip yourself with strategies to avoid all forms of academic dishonesty, including plagiarism, academic fraud, collusion, and cheating. If you are uncertain of the definitions of any of these terms, you should go to the <u>academic integrity website</u> for more information. Consult your instructor(s) if you need any clarification about the requirements of academic integrity in the course.

## J) Course Instructors

Instructor	Office Location	Phone	Email	Consultation Hours
Raymond Tan	-	-	raymond.tan@ntu.edu.sg	See below

Consultation will be conducted online. Send me an email to arrange for a Zoom or Teams call for team or individual consultations

#### K) Planned Weekly Schedule

Friday 9.30 am to 12.30 pm Friday 2.30 pm to 5.30 pm

Week (Online / Classroom)*	Торіс	Learning Objectives
Session 1 12 August (Online)	Introduction to leading and managing Change Textbook Chapter 1 & 2	<ul> <li>Understand change framework</li> <li>States and processes of change</li> <li>Haye's Seven Core Activities</li> </ul>

	Kotter (1995)	
Session 2 19 August (Online)	Business Case for Change Textbook C3 & 4 Schneider et al (2003)	<ul> <li>Patterns of change</li> <li>Forces of change</li> <li>Using tools/models to assess the need for change</li> </ul>
Session 3 26 August (Classroom)	Essential Skills and Readiness of Change Agent Textbook C5 & 6	<ul> <li>Essential competencies of change consultant</li> <li>Dispositions and characteristics of successful change agent</li> <li>Building change relationships</li> </ul>
Session 4 2 September (Online)	Team Project presentation: Part 1 (5%)  Team Project Presentation #1 and submit slides (Due 5 September)	<ul> <li>Peer learning</li> <li>Learn the business models of at least 8 companies</li> <li>Confirmation of team project scope</li> </ul>
Session 5 9 September (Classroom)	Diagnosis for Change Textbook C7 & 8	<ul> <li>Using models to aid diagnosis process, minimize personal biases</li> <li>Examine Component versus Holistic models</li> </ul>
	Quiz Test 1 (C5, 6, 7 & 8; 15 min. 20 items)	<ul> <li>Gathering and interpreting information</li> <li>Using diagnostic information to develop action plans</li> </ul>
Session 6 16 September (Classroom)	Leading & Managing People Issues – Leadership & Stakeholders Management  Textbook C9 & 10  Optional C11 & 12  MiniT A1-BESTCar (Due 19 Sep)	<ul> <li>Create vision for change</li> <li>Collective leadership</li> <li>Power, politics, and stakeholder management</li> <li>Influencing others to support change</li> <li>Ethical approach in leading change</li> </ul>
Session 7 23 September (Classroom)	Leading & Managing People Issues – Communicating and Motivating Others to Change Textbook C13, 14 & 15	<ul> <li>Causes of resistance to change</li> <li>Inspiring and motivating others to change</li> <li>What are the options to manage resistance to change</li> </ul>
	Recess Week 26 – 30 September	
Session 8 7 October (Classroom)	Planning & Preparing for Change – Implementation Strategies & Change Plan Textbook C16, 17 & 18 Optional C19 & 20	<ul> <li>Economic &amp; organization development strategies</li> <li>Contingency model</li> <li>Preparing implementation Plan</li> <li>Develop feedback mechanisms to enhance coordination</li> </ul>
	Quiz Test 2 (C13,14,15,16,17 & 18; 15 min. 20 items)	

Session 9 14 October (Classroom)	Planning & Preparing for Change – Types of Intervention & Action Research Textbook C21, 22 & 23  MiniT A2-Change Management Intervention (Due 17 October)	<ul> <li>Interventions using subject matter experts and groups</li> <li>Facilitator in focus group meeting</li> <li>The process of action research</li> <li>Three dimensional model of interventions</li> </ul>
Session 10 21 October (Classroom)	Implementing Change Textbook C28 & 29  MiniT A2-Re-submit Change Management Intervention (Due 24 Oct)	<ul> <li>Examine key elements in implementing and keeping track of change</li> </ul>
Session 11 28 October (Classroom)	Organizational Culture Change Textbook C23, 25 & 26 Optional C24, 27	<ul> <li>Definition on organisational culture change</li> <li>Implications to culture change</li> <li>Business process re-engineering</li> <li>Culture integration in merger and acquisition</li> <li>Value innovation</li> </ul>
Session 12 4 November (Online)	E-Learning Week Sustaining Change Textbook C30, 31 & 32 Team Project Presentation #2 slides (Due 7 November)	<ul> <li>Sustaining and spreading change</li> <li>Practical tips in addressing recurrent problems in sustaining change</li> <li>Individual and organizational attributes that spread change</li> </ul>
Session 13 11 November (Online or Classroom - TBC)	Project Team Presentation: Part 2  Project team members take turn to present to the panel judges	<ul> <li>Team presentation on proposed change interventions to panel judges.</li> </ul>

# **ANNEX A: ASSESSMENT RUBRICS**

**Group Presentation and Oral Communication (Assessment Component: Team Project Part 2)** 

Criteria		Unacceptabl e	Significantly Below	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Significantly Above	Excellent
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Knowledge Application  • Applies appropriate theories and concepts to the case	15							
example	15							
Integration  Identifies main issues of the case example  Demonstrates research effort  Brings in supporting data from multiple sources	15							
Presentation Structure  States the main point clearly and early to maintain the audience's attention  Organizes content coherently  Has strong, attention grabbing opening and closing  Signals transitions and relationships between main points	10							
Non-Verbal	30							
<ul> <li>Stands poised and confidently</li> <li>Establishes meaningful eye contact with all members of the audience</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Looks poised and in control; effectively conceals nervousness</li> <li>Uses appropriate facial expressions to show enthusiasm and energy</li> </ul>								
Communication Outcome  Appropriately recognizes the change requirements  Conveys a message with a clear take-away for audience  Effectively addresses the business and organizational needs and concerns of the given audience  Successfully meets the most important objectives of the change message	30							

# Peer Evaluation: Teamwork & Interpersonal Skills (Assessment Component: Team Project Part 1 & 2)

Criteria	Performance			
1. Roles and Responsibility (RR)  Behaves professionally by upholding responsibility and assuming accountability for self and others in progressing towards the team's goal.	Scant Unclear about his/her own role; refuses to take a role in the group; insists to work individually and has limited coordination or communication with others.  Evaluation: Scant 1 2 3	Substantially Developed Always fulfills responsibilities; performs his/her role within the group with enthusiasm and demonstrates willingness to work collaboratively.  4 5 6 7 Substantially Developed		
2. Communication (CM)  Identifies appropriate mechanisms to coordinate and correspond with team members.	Scant  Modes of communication are not appropriate, causing confusion and miscommunication among team members.  Evaluation: Scant 1 2 3	Substantially Developed  Modes of communication are appropriate, and maintaining timely communication and correspondence with team members.  4 5 6 7 Substantially Developed		
3. Conflict Resolution (CR) Resolves conflicts using a variety of approaches.	Scant Does not recognize conflicts or is unwilling to resolve conflicts.	Substantially Developed Consistently resolves conflicts through facilitating open discussion and compromise.		
	Evaluation: Scant <u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</u> Substantially Developed			
4. Contributions (CT)  Contributes positive input for the team; effectively utilizes one's knowledge and	Scant Largely disinterested in working in a group and refuses to participate; observes passively or is unwilling to share information with other team members.	Substantially Developed Actively attends and participates in all activities and provides meaningful contribution in articulating ideas and opinions.		
expertise.	Evaluation: Scant <u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</u> Substantially Developed			
5. Relationship (RS)  Maintains cooperative interaction with other	Scant Rarely listens to others and does not acknowledge the opinions that differ from his/her own.	Substantially Developed  Engages in respectful relationships with all other members in the team.  Embraces and accepts diverse points of view without prejudice.		
team members regardless of individual /cultural differences and respects diverse perspectives.	Evaluation: Scant <u>1 2 3</u>	4 5 6 7 Substantially Developed		

#### Reference:

Teamwork Value Rubric - Association of American Colleges and Universities. Retrieved from http://www.aacu.org/value/rubrics/pdf/teamwork.pdf

# **Critical Thinking Rubric (Assessment Component: Personal Learning Journal)**

Criteria	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Identifies & Summarizes the Issues at Hand (10%)	Fails to identify, summarize or explain the main issues. Represents the issues inaccurately/inappropriately	Identifies the main issues but does not summarize or explain them clearly	Identifies & summarizes the main issues but does not explain how or why they are related	Identifies & summarizes the main issues & explains how or why they are related. Identifies implicit issues and nuances
Identifies & considers theoretical perspectives(s) that are important to the analysis of the issue (20%)	Fails to identify and explain more than one theoretical perspective	Correctly identifies some of the theoretical perspectives	Correctly identifies all the theoretical perspectives	Correctly identifies all the theoretical perspectives and highlights the relationship and/or tensions between them
Identifies & assesses the quality of supporting data/evidence and provides additional data/evidence related to the issue (20%)	Merely repeats information provided, taking it as truth, or denies evidence without adequate justification. Confuses associations and correlations with cause and effect.	Identifies the evidence and source of evidence but fails to evaluate fully its accuracy, precision, relevance, and/or completeness	Identifies the evidence and source of evidence; questions its accuracy, precision, relevance, and completeness. Observes cause and effect.	Identifies the evidence and source of evidence; questions its accuracy, precision, relevance, and completeness. Observes cause and effect. Provides new data or information for consideration
Identifies and considers <b>Key assumptions</b> and the influence of <b>the context</b> on the issue (20%)	Fails to identify or evaluate more than one of the assumptions that underlie the issue	Identifies some of the important assumptions but does not evaluate them for plausibility or clarity	Identifies and evaluates all of the important assumptions but does not analyse them in the context of the issue	Identifies and evaluates all of the important assumptions and analyses the issues with a clear sense of scope and context.
Identifies and assesses conclusions, implications and consequences (30%)	Fails to identify conclusions, implications, and consequences of the issues or the key relationships among the various elements such as context, evidence or assumptions.	Suggests some implications, conclusions and consequences but without clear reference to context, assumptions, data and evidence	Identifies and briefly discusses implications, conclusions and consequences considering most but not all the relevant assumptions, contexts, data and evidence	Identifies and discusses conclusions, implications, and consequences, considering context, assumptions, data, and evidence. Objectively reflects upon own assertions.

#### Reference:

Adapted from North-eastern Illinois University's General Education Critical Thinking Rubric

# Problem Solving and Decision Making (Assessment Component: Change Management Presentation Topic)

Criteria	Not Present	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Define the Change Issue and Challenge (10%)	Does not identify the problem/issue clearly     Demonstrates limited understanding of the problem or related contextual factors     Weak introduction that repeats information from materials     Identifies purpose of report and issues to be addressed but is vague, ambiguous, or out of point	Adequate scope in identifying the problem/issue moderately     Adequately demonstrates understanding in constructing a problem statement with some evidence of relevant contextual factors	I. Identifies the problem/issue moderately     Demonstrates understanding by     constructing clear and insightful problem     statement with evidence of relevant     contextual factors	I. Identifies the problem clearly and thoroughly     Demonstrates the ability in constructing a clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of relevant contextual factors
Stakeholder Analysis (10%)	Fails to identify and explain more than one stakeholders' perspective	Correctly identifies some of the stakeholders' perspectives	Correctly identifies all the stakeholders' perspectives	Correctly identifies all major stakeholders' perspectives and highlights the relationship and/or tensions between them
Devise Strategies to Solve the Problem (35%)	I. Identifies strategies without regard to fit, which does not solve problem that apply within a specific context     Does not demonstrate the ability to form a plan and clearly articulates the decision making     Unable to identify alternative which reflect limited understanding of the situation	Limited strategies adopted in solving the problem that apply within a specific context     Adequate demonstration in the ability to form a plan and clearly articulates the decision making     Provides an alternative that reflect reasonable understanding of the situation	1. Adequate strategies adopted in solving the problem that apply within a specific context 2. Demonstration in the ability to form a basic action plan and articulates the decision making 3. Provides some alternatives that reflect reasonable good understanding of the situation	1. Identifies strategies for solving the problem that apply within a specific context 2. Demonstrates the ability to form a clear action plan and clearly articulates the decision making 3. Identifies good alternatives that reflects an in-depth understanding of the situation
Assess Implementation Feasibility (35%)	Does not examine how well the stakeholders are involved or engaged     Not able to identify areas of risk and possible side-effects     Does not specify how the implementation will be monitored and controlled     No indicators or instruments to review or analyze the success of the action	1. Basic amount of assessment performed on stakeholders' engagement 2. Considers limited areas of risks and provides basic insights in addressing possible side-effects 3. Basic attempt on how the implementation will be monitored effectively 4. Identifies just one progress measure and explain what results are expected to have been achieved at this stage	1. Sufficient amount of assessment performed on stakeholders' involvement and engagement 2. Considers some areas of risks and provides a fair amount of insights in addressing possible side-effects 3. Some evidence on how the implementation will be monitored effectively 4. Identifies some stages at which progress should be measured and specify what results are expected to have been achieved at these stages	1. Thorough assessment on how well the stakeholders are involved 2. Considers areas of risk and provides insights in addressing possible side-effects 3. Able to state how the implementation will be monitored effectively 4. Identifies the stages at which progress should be measured and specify what results are expected to have been achieved at these stages

Evaluate Outcomes (10%)	1. Reviews results superficially in terms of the	1. Review results moderately in terms of the problem	1. Review results sufficiently in terms of the	1. Reviews results relative to the
	problem defined with no consideration of need for	defined with reasonable considerations for further	problem defined with good considerations	problem defined with thorough,
	further work	work	for further work	specific considerations of need for
	2. Concludes with a weak and unbalanced views,	2. Organized, clear, adequate views with reasonable	2. Organized, clear, adequate views with	further work
	with poor summary of findings and discussions	amount of work on the summary findings and	reasonable amount of work on the	2. Well organized, very concise, clear,
		discussions	summary findings and discussions	with proper conclusion with well-
				grounded, balanced views and
				incisive summary of findings and
				discussions

# **Class Participation**

Criteria	Weight (%)	Performance			
		1	2	3	4
Attendance	35	<ul> <li>Attends less than 80% of classes without valid reasons</li> <li>Is often late for class</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Attends at least 80% of classes and may have valid reasons when absent</li> <li>Is occasionally late for class</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Attends at least 90% of classes and has valid reasons when absent</li> <li>Is always punctual for class</li> </ul>	Attends 100% of classes     Is always punctual for class
Attitude	25	<ul> <li>Displays lack of interest in class/group discussions and comments/questions by peers and/or instructor</li> <li>Often engages in distracting activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occasionally displays a lack of interest in class/group discussions and comments/ questions by peers and/or instructor</li> <li>Occasionally engages in distracting activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Shows interest in class/group discussions, and listens actively to comments/questions by peers and/or instructor</li> <li>Does not engage in distracting activities</li> </ul>	Shows great interest in class/group discussions, and listens actively to comments/questions by peers and/or instructor     Does not engage in distracting activities
Contribution	40	Gives comments that are vague and reflect little preparation     Asks questions that reflect little preparation or lack of attention     Seldom speaks up in small group discussion	<ul> <li>Gives comments, some of which are relevant</li> <li>Asks questions that clarify or focus conversation/discussion</li> <li>Occasionally speaks up in small group discussion</li> </ul>	Gives comments that are often relevant     Asks questions that advance the level and depth of conversation/discussion     Frequently speaks up in small group discussion	Gives comments that are often relevant and insightful Asks questions that advance the level and depth of conversation/ discussion Frequently speaks up in small group discussion